

This report was politically driven to try and remove liability for any ongoing COVID crimes by the Smith government, in effect trying to exempt them from any blame. It ensures that testing, masking, isolation and shots continue for ANY respiratory outbreak. Further, by restricting analysis to a prescribed timeline (2020-2022), the ongoing impacts caused by a response that never ended are obscured in a willful attempt to mislead the public. KPMG is mentioned 17 times in timelines that surpass the cut off. The timeline parameters were breached repeatedly but no mention of any wrongdoing in the extended timeline is made of the current government, many of the political players still holding positions post the Kenney era. How can a government complicit in ongoing crimes perform an independent review into its own wrongdoing? The authors had access to all publicly available dashboard data but failed to use any of it to demonstrate data manipulation designed to deceive the public as regards cases, hospitalizations and deaths, all to fit a narrative. In fact, the report should have clarified from the outset that the province was locked down without a single case of "COVID" under a state of emergency for pandemic influenza. The Alberta government, past and present, has had more than the benefit of hindsight. They have always had the data to show there was never a pandemic and their actions have and continue to put Albertans at risk. The final report was months late (due for delivery Dec 2023, only produced Aug 2024 to AB govt) and only now has been released with no comment from those in power to the public. Some authors only saw the report on Friday of this week to assess their "contributions". Contributors have clear biases and some have conflicts of interest in that they have come under the scrutiny of professional bodies. The author(s) even goes as far as to offer advice to those still under investigation! Predictably, the focus of the report takes aim at AHS and CPSA, specifically in their dealings with physicians who spoke out. Interestingly, other professional bodies where healthcare professionals were targeted in even greater numbers than physicians (CRNA and CLPNA) are not mentioned. The report pushes for a "further formal inquiry". This will serve only to further delays to see any real justice served at inordinate cost to the taxpayer and ultimately, as has been proven in other inquiries worldwide, whitewash the truth in favour of harder, longer and faster restrictive measures. No one in any inquiry to date has been held accountable. But what has been assured is a future where damaging protocols continue to take effect.

The "success" of Sweden is noted (where care homes were locked down for 8 months in 2020 resulting in catastrophic deaths in the elderly). Focused protection as per the GBD is a death sentence for those in care homes. This is what is currently in effect in care homes across Alberta where flawed testing, masking, isolations for any "outbreak" lasting for months at a time continues to leave residents with substandard care, neglected and without the socialization and care routine critical for their wellbeing. The report states that "Lockdown interventions were implemented based on a precautionary principle, with limited scientific data supporting such measures during the initial wave." Lockdowns impacting thousands of Albertans are still in effect in care homes and hospitals now for any "outbreak" based on demonstrably flawed policies and practices. The report questions "containment of the virus" by means of measures... "not limited to, testing, isolation, workplace policy recommendations, school and business closures, and work-from-home recommendations" yet fails to look at the ongoing impacts that measures still in place are having particularly in care homes facing weeks of "outbreak" protocols. While the report acknowledges that "fear and isolation are killers in themselves" (still in effect worse than ever in Alberta today) and "No one should be barred from a dying parent's bedside", it counters with the

recommendation towards “a more sustainable approach to managing COVID-19”, “an approach that countries like Sweden recognized at the outset.” Rolling lockdowns of those in care homes under the guise of “focused protection” is being proffered as the new normal. The report notes that “... every adult Albertan has the individual right to make informed decisions about their risk behaviour – even in the face of a pandemic.” Does that apply to those in congregate care settings? The statement “In long-term care homes, pandemic management strategies restricted visitation which adversely impacted the perceived health and well-being of residents and their families, revealing a pre-existing care gap in public long-term care facilities.” is beyond misleading. Deficits were always evident in LTC from staffing shortages and more. What resulted in avoidable suffering and deaths (still in play to this day) has resulted from COVID protocols which, by design, leave residents deficient in everything essential to their very survival. There is nothing “perceived” about this. It is a fact evident in the lived experiences of residents and families to this day. In the examination of masking, where is the in-depth discussion of masks used continuously in care homes causing distress for residents who are cognitively, hearing or sight impaired despite there being a provision for this in government policy? Communication challenges, safety related concerns when communication challenges from masked carers impedes care? A three-line acknowledgement under “challenges for vulnerable populations” is a woeful attempt to account for devastating impacts to this most fragile sector of society. Finally, towards the end of the report, there is an admission that residents in care homes were left untreated to die. “In 2020, most COVID-19 deaths (around 83%) occurred among residents of long-term care facilities, potentially due to a withdrawal of care.” So how many really died with/of COVID?

The report goes to great lengths to question the safety and efficacy of the vaccine for certain age groups but still supports its use for those 75 and over. The report notes that the WHO has identified “benefit outweighing risk of closures/restrictions “Lowest socioeconomic costs” in limiting LTC visitation (this would be less relevant post vaccine)”. This vaccine has proven risks across every age range. Any benefit has not been demonstrated in any age group. The call has to be for these shots to be halted indefinitely for EVERYONE. The discussion in this report as regards Informed Consent amounts to still providing shots as long as people are aware of the risks. The shots should never have been rolled out in the first place! There is no discussion in terms of the inadequacy of the consent form. The report proposes ending shot use only in “healthy children and teenagers”. How is this better? While these shots remain in play for ANYONE, they are putting people of any age or health status at unnecessary and known risk, one which manufacturers knew from the start and about which Alberta government past and present were made well aware.

The government’s links with “19 to Zero” are questioned but there is no mention that this group is STILL supported by Smith and the current Health Minister, Adriana LaGrange who has just announced a brand new contract with them. The report falsely asserts that “Early modeling scenarios were found to be inaccurate, but improvements were seen in late-2020.” Another lie. ICU bed requirements are misrepresented in this report; this information was documented by David Dickson in meticulous detail, even generating a response by the Health Minister.

While major flaws with testing are exposed in this report, the authors assert that “the findings of the review shed light on several important aspects of testing and provide valuable recommendations for optimizing testing strategies.” They recommend “optimizing testing strategies to improve

accuracy and ensure the effective use of testing resources in FUTURE pandemics.” These unreliable tests were used to destroy lives and livelihoods across the province and are still in use NOW. The report pushes RAT tests (acknowledged as highly unreliable) and RT-PCR tests for outbreak control/”high risk settings”. Care home residents are subjected to endless testing, all too often without informed consent, and resulting in unwarranted infringements on their human rights. Two cases lock down units of symptomatic and asymptomatic residents for weeks on end with noticeable adverse impacts on their lives, in the extreme resulting in their untimely deaths. The use of a “screening tool” is mentioned. These have been in place in care homes and in the general population from the start. The reliance on testing (which has spawned the entire deadly response) is lauded as the way forward, “professionally administered” so that “Individual risk estimates can then be used to inform individual needs for protection either through the use of personal protective measures and/or vaccination.

This report is an endorsement of every measure which caused suffering and untimely deaths. This all needs to end now with everyone responsible held to account. Roger Hodgkinson was looking to market his respiratory test kits at the start of 2020. The testing section of the report reads like a sales pitch! People should take a long, hard look at every individual countering the narrative who is profiting from this crisis. It isn't just the government who saw an opportunity to exploit vulnerable people. At the close of the report, Peter McCullough and his COVID protocol (also available for a price through the Wellness Company) gets a mention. Any individual asking for money in relation to COVID should be viewed with the same skepticism as the authors of this report, recruited/commissioned by the government, to provide advice. Be informed before you consent to act on any advice or undertake any course of treatment is the only way to safeguard both yourself and your family.