

## **Edmonton Alberta. November 21<sup>st</sup>, 2020 – Interview with CTV Edmonton.**

Actually 49 [sic] people spoke against [actually 49 total and 48 against] and one person spoke for it. However, she didn't actually explain that she was running for council and that she also supported the masking of two-and-a-half-year olds, and that anybody like myself who is disabled shouldn't be allowed out to shop. On the masking of two-and-a-half-year olds, as a retired Police Officer, I've kicked doors down and arrested people for less abusive behavior than that.

But back to the extension of the bylaw. There was a meeting, which has been misrepresented. There was one highly qualified Doctor who spoke on it who said this should stop and masks should not be used because of the dangers and the fact that they are useless. But also during that meeting, the councillors were informed that there were other people that wanted to speak and they said OK, instead of extending the meeting we are going to have a second meeting. That meeting was booked and there were a number of residents of Edmonton who were promised their voice to speak. Then without any notice whatsoever, on the day they were supposed to meet, or supposed to speak, were they had taken the time to prepare their five minute speeches, Council cancelled it for no apparent reason other than and urgency to actually vote on a mask bylaw that doesn't expire until the 31<sup>st</sup> of December this year. What was the panic?

Was the panic the fact that they were getting push back from Costco? Maybe Costco was part of that big panic for Iveson. Iveson's on Twitter tight now and his only study he can actually quote as to why the bylaw needs to be here is he quoted Kansas. Well I am sorry Mr. Iveson, but we're not in Kansas anymore. You know, click both your heels and bugger off. This is, can you not, this bylaw has been in place since August. If it has been in place since August, how about quoting the statistics from Edmonton and how this mask bylaw has significantly reduced the spread of a virus, since he brought it in. Because we don't have to go anywhere else. We can go to Calgary. We can go anywhere in Alberta and say, where is this.

So, why has he made this decision? Why was there a panic? But more importantly, why are we wearing masks? So, I'm connected to a bunch of people and I speak to biochemists, I speak to Professor Dolores Cahill on a daily basis, who's currently running around Europe and informing people of what's really going on. But, one of the conversations we've had is about masks. What is the problem with masks? Well apart from the fact that they are effectively a petri dish of bacteria and viruses. The perfect growing medium for these things. We also have to look at the governing authority for mask regulations. If we go to section 9.1.6 of the Canadian Biosafety Handbook, it talks in some detail about masks and respirators and they have to be; the word FIT is pretty much the key in the use of masks. So, they have to be FIT for purpose i.e. they have to be demonstrated to do the job for which you are wearing them. So, do these masks, these cloth masks, were you can wear whatever you want. Do they stop a virus? No. everybody has quite clearly, they do not STOP a virus, at all. So, they FAIL on the first hurdle.

The second thing. Are they FIT tested? Before anybody wears a mask or a respirator it has to be able to, you know, cover the whole area where it is designed to either prevent the virus coming in or prevent the virus coming out. Well it FAILS on that, because we are not FIT testing anyone. We are just saying, put whatever piece of old cloth in front of your face. Judges are actually making masks out of old Judges robes! I mean, how insane is that? And these are some of the most educated people on the planet.

The third thing is that before you actually wear a mask, everybody has to be FIT to wear a mask. They have to be shown that they can physically wear it and as a person who is disabled and I have, I keep my Doctors note with me, although I don't have to show it to anyone. I have my Doctors note with me, at all times, just in case. I cannot wear it, I have pulmonary fibrosis. I also can't wear a face shield. I suffered a blunt force trauma to the top of my head a number of years ago causing a sub dural hematoma, which causes me seizures, it causes me visual migraines, so I can't wear a face shield. But actually, nobody can wear a face shield under the City's bylaw. It's specifically excluded. So, Costco, you should really go check that. Because you're actually forcing people to wear something that is illegal under the City's bylaw. I haven't seen bylaw come out and actually prosecute Costco. I did see an Edmonton police officer use unnecessary use of force and drag somebody out who was disabled when he wasn't doing anything wrong, using the excuse of trespass.

But anyway, the last thing. Masks. The last FIT part of masks is they have to be FIT for the environment. So, you don't wear an N95 mask for clearing asbestos because they are not FIT for the environment. They wouldn't work inside of that environment. But something else that you don't do. When you are clearing out asbestos, if they were clearing out asbestos in a restaurant right now, and they said, OK the air in that environment is unsafe because of asbestos, they wouldn't let people come in a dine in that area. They would say, no, no, you can't come in because the air is toxic. So, if we are required to wear a mask for the safety of the employees then they are saying that there is a risk to the employees if they don't wear a mask. And there is a risk to the patrons if they don't wear a mask. Well then why are the patrons sitting down and not wearing a mask? Are they putting the employees at risk? Or are they putting the patrons at risk? Either way, there is a reason that we have these health and safety regulations and guidelines. There is a reason that politicians don't make health decisions. There is a reason we shouldn't be wearing masks. Because right now, I haven't wore a mask and I avoid people who wear masks, because my risk is significantly, I am more at risk, my health is significantly more at risk, by somebody who has wore a mask for eight hours standing in front of me, than someone who hasn't. Nurses, all the guidelines state, nurse, doctor, all the professionals that use them, these masks are single use. Once you take it off, once you touch it with your hands, you MUST dispose of it. You cannot put it back on your face. You have contaminated it. It is game over. So, we are now going to make people sick. And guess what, look at the stats. Don Iveson, show us the chart and show us exactly when you mandated masks. And then show us what happened to cases. These are your numbers.

Cameraman: Asks how frustrating is it that this is coming in a local level.

It is disgraceful that this came in at a local level. At an international level, at a federal level, and at a provincial level, they are not mandating these things. Why is it that and, is Edmonton more dangerous Red Deer or Devon, or I mean if this virus is this deadly, now as a police officer, when this first started my view of it having participated in global exercises for the protection of the population in the event of a world catastrophe, such as, nuclear, biological or chemical attack, the intent was to lock down the whole population. That was an exercise we ran in the eighties. Now if this virus was this dangerous, then we should have gone into full martial law. The armed forces should have took over, and they should be walking around in level 4 biohazard suits. And we should have put this down right away and anybody who endangered life by leaving their house should have been shot on sight. That is the only way we would have ever controlled the virus. But guess what, in the first five months this virus, we now know

for a fact that it was circulating the planet no later than mid November and in the latest reports as early as August. If we were actually... Do you mind if I stop for this [our national anthem]?

[continued]

We know it was circulating back in November. From November to mid march, when the first restrictions were put in, we actually had eight reported deaths from SARS-CoV-2. In the next five months, in Canada, after all the restrictions, the lockdowns, the isolations, the masks. The masks wasn't new in August. All of that came in place and we had nine and a half thousand deaths reported in the next five months. So, clearly from that the restrictions made it worse. And then they got better during the summer when people stopped wearing masks and went outside and then we mandated masks again in August and all the cases and deaths went up again. They have doubled just since Edmonton mandated the masks.

Maybe it's flu though? WHO knows!?

Take care.