Transcript - Sun, 01 Jun 2025 13:16:18 GMT

Date: Sun, 01 Jun 2025 13:16:18 GMT, Duration: [00:16:10.86]

[00:00:00.16] - Drea Humphie

Does the Canadian Food Inspection Agency think we're idiots? I think that's what they think, especially because of this bogus statement that they just put out. If anything or anybody knows about the Battle of the Birds I've been covering extensively at the Universal Ostrich Farms in British Columbia, then you know that it is a battle that all Canadians should be watching. It affects so many of our freedoms, whether it's the freedom to farm, whether it's our property rights, whether it's the freedom to have different scientific research without having the government come along and find a reason to quash it. So much is at play here. So when the Canadian Food Inspection Agency is getting all of this backlash and they come out with a statement, you know, they're desperate, especially when that statement is so misleading and they think you're so dumb, you'll never know the truth. If you've been following my reports since you January, you would probably know that a lot of the crap they're saying here isn't true. But the problem is there's been so many reports. So I'm going to get some more comprehensive reports that kind of channel in on some of the bogus claims that are here. And while I'm in my pajamas and I was going to take a night off and watch my guilty pleasure, which I don't get to do often, but it's called Pop the balloon, It's on YouTube, Don't watch the Netflix version that killed it. It started on YouTube and I'm not even going to tell you much more about it. Just look it up. Beware. It could be addicting, but you got to have it on your list of priorities and put it quite low. But anyways, I'm in my pajamas talking to you because I'm. I've been working on a report about the science for a couple of weeks so I could have all that in one place. Because I see even on our side, the side of freedom, there's a lot of people confused. They're. They're confused about what research birds actually means and what that entails. And some of them, like what the Canadian Food Inspection agents is trying to pull now, are even accusing the farmers of not being truthful about their birds even being in research. It's. It's very strange. But now that the CFIA has put this out in black and white, that's one of the things where they're basically trying to make the public think that these farmers are lying about them being research birds. How low can you go? I thought I'll Just share this clip with you so I can relax for real tonight. It's just a clip of a longer interview which you'll see more parts on to address more things. But in this particular clip I'm sharing, it addresses the bogus, misleading part of the statement where the CFIA is trying to make people believe that these farmers birds are not really involved in research. They basically said we have not been able to like, you know, they have not proven that the birds have been involved in research on the location. And it's again so misleading. So this is a clip of an interview with UBC's tenured professor Dr. Stephen Pellick. A lot of you know who he is. He is a neurologist. He's in the scientific department there. He's also the head of a company called Kinexus Bioinformatics [https://kinexus.ca/kinetica/about/] which does a lot of great research on natural immunity including antibody testing. Now remember these 400 healthy ostriches that the CFIA is trying to kill. That is the type of research they're involved with. And he's also one of the heads when it comes to the Canadian Covid Care Alliance. If you know anything about the COVID tyranny era, that group did amazing work. It was a bunch of doctors and some scientists that put out the truth when it came to natural immunity. The truth that was being covered up by the state. Now it is very concerning when a tax paid for federal agency has public, political, international concern with their policy that affects the livelihoods and the freedoms of many Canadians. And instead of listening to the people they put out a piece of propaganda like that statement, statement. So Here is what Dr. Pelik had to say and just know that more is coming and more is already there to prove how misleading they are atsavetheostriches.com and if you want to support our independent journalism that doesn't sleep when tyranny is thriving, consider being part of the small but mighty group of generous supporters who keep Rebel News going strong. And for this particular coverage that is at sea. Save the ostriches.com as well.

[00:04:42.39] - Drea Humphie

say Universal Ostrich Farm has not substantiated their claims of scientific research. CFIA has not received any evidence of scientific research being done at the infected premises. And I note they're saying only, only at a specific premises.

[00:05:00.92] - Steven Pelech

yes, they've coached that very, very carefully. First of all they know because in my expert reports I actually provided them with the testing results from the summer of 2024 with our epitope mapping of the different antibody response to the different proteins in the virus from these birds. So. But that wasn't done on site.

[00:05:25.80] - Steven Pelech

that's why the way they've couched those words technically they haven't lied, but in fact they're giving a misrepresentation. But I think what they were referring to more specifically was in order to have an exemption from being culled in the first place, there was that little gap. The farm, the United Ostrich Farm was supposed to

provide information, records that they had some genetic information on these birds. But the thing is, the farm started like almost 30 years ago. So those kind of records are not easy to come by because the original researchers that had that and they were involved in this with UBC at the time, but that whole program has been shut down. And once the programs are shut down, the records are destroyed because, because nobody's going to continue that work. So they weren't able to provide the documentation in that respect which the CFIA was looking for. But in terms of the COVID 19 research that was ongoing, it's clear that they were doing work with the, I guess it was university in Japan where they have a collaborator and they had, they had work for, even business plans for creating this new company. That was an already work underway where they've been injecting these birds with the, again the SARS CoV2 virus spike protein. So I don't know where they can get this kind of statement. Clearly these birds are being used for research. They're not selling them, you know, for consumption.

[00:07:16.43] - Drea Humphie

think it's so concerning that a federal agency is using such manipulative wording on such a high profile issue.

[00:07:27.26] - Drea Humphie

you'll have people, and I know this for a fact because even people who are sympathetic to them are reaching out to me saying, hey, are they making up all of this research stuff? Because they read that in black and white. And I should add, I've interviewed Katie Pasitney, the daughter of co owner of the farm and she grew up with the birds, you know who she is. And another thing that came to mind when I saw them saying, oh, they haven't, you know, produced anything is I remember that when they were told, hey, we're gonna call these animals. One of the things that according to Katie they said is hey, where are you storing the COVID 19 antibodies? And you know, the farmers understandably don't really want to answer that when you're, you're running through everything. And then they said to them, well, just to let you know, when we do the cull everything is ours. Basically, we have access to everything inside of your home and we can take anything that we feel is in suspicion to this. So that's what came to mind to me is, well, they're not giving us these stored antibodies.

[00:08:28.41] - Steven Pelech

well, you know, the other thing too to bear in mind is that we requested, right from the time that the court injunction to prevent the calling on February 1st, we requested the ability to test these birds, and that was completely denied. We even tried to have a motion go forward in the courts to allow me to test these birds. And again, in the pretrial for that motion, the judge, Judge Zinn, who ultimately presided over the case in April, basically he said there's no point. And the rationale behind it was that, yes, we accept. And I think even the experts that were in cross examination for this case, they all agreed that the birds probably have an immune response and they don't have the virus anymore and they don't actually pose a set. But that's irrelevant because the whole case hinged around what was known at the time they made the cull decision. And the CFAA policy is that if any bird is even suspected, never mind confirmed, with a test of having influenza, they can go in and call the entire herd, any birds that that bird would have been in contact with. But the policy that they apply at the CFIA is that if you have an H5 or an H7 test, these are again, the hemagglutinin protein on the surface of the virus with, you know, two separate strains have been linked with high pathogenicity avian influenza virus. If they have a positive PCR test, and that's sufficient. And so this is why like 41 minutes after they got the results, they immediately issued the call order. But the problem is the test that they're using, the PCR test, first of all, the PCR test can be very accurate and they can distinguish amongst various strains. So used properly, the PCR test is actually a very good test. But when you do it at cycle threshold numbers, we call these thermal cycles. The reason why the test is so powerful is because with each thermal cycle, you double the amount of genetic material. So you can increase the amount to a point where it's detectable. So they're using, and we don't know the exact number, but we know it's over 36 cycles. So that's. So imagine like to give you a sense, this is like hundreds of trillions of times of the amount of original genetic material that was there in the sample to begin with with these amplifications and the problem is once you start going to that level of amplifications, you have about a 90% plus false positive rate. So that means, you know, 10% of the time maybe it's accurate, but 90% of the time there was no virus there. Because every time you do the thermal cycle, you're actually the enzymes that are being used to increase the amount of genetic material. They make errors, they make mistakes and then those mistakes get amplified.

[00:12:07.79] - Drea Humphie

talked about the policy, which of course was the focus of the ruling, where Justice Zinn is basically saying this is the policy and the policy is derived from the World Health Organization, this stamping out policy. You know, we have these foreign globalists, oligarch run entities that are having so much influence to the point that the CFIA can walk onto any farm, not just by an anonymous tip as it was in this case, but suspicion, and walk in and take these over cycled PCR tests on dead carcasses and determine that the healthy flock must die. It is so shocking.

[00:12:46.71] - Steven Pelech

of the other things that came out in the press release recently from the CFIA is that they're concerned that if they don't call these birds that they're going to affect the trade of animal. And this is ridiculous because first of all, the World Organization for Animal Health does not require that you have to call the birds in order to get disease free status. If you do, and it used to be three months, but for some reason with the more pathogenic virus now they, they change it to 28 days. So I don't understand how that works, but that's what they do. So if you don't have an outbreak within 28 days in the zone, then you, you have disease free status. If you do not do anything, you let the virus burn out, so to speak, then you have to wait a year before you can get that disease free status. Now that's, that's the guidelines from the World Organization for Animal Health. The CFAA has their own policy. So they basically have eradicated any outbreak. And I think the last I calculated there was somewhere over 537 outbreaks in the last few years of avian influenza where they've gone in and they've just wiped out the entire herd at the first signs of an infection.

[00:14:21.28] - Drea Humphie

almost half of that's from British Columbia. (

[00:14:23.33] - Steven Pelech

that farm, the United Ostrich Farm, is in its own zone. So what goes on in that zone does not affect the animal trait and the disease free status in any of the other zones in B.C. or in Canada. So I want to clarify that. So back to your original question.

[00:14:43.53] - Drea Humphie

let's stop now. I just want to make sure people are following along, because that's really important, what you said. Because by. So basically what you're saying is, yes, part of it comes from the World Health Organization's guidelines, but it seems like the CFIA is superseding that.

[00:15:02.05] - Steven Pelech

right.

[00:15:03.53] - Drea Humphie

all these flocks. And now in this, as we've already discussed, misleading statement they put out, pointing the finger at the who.

[00:15:11.63] - Steven Pelech

right.

[00:15:12.00] - Drea Humphie

saying they're making us do it.

[00:15:14.00] - Steven Pelech

In the court, on the one hand, they're saying that, well, we don't have to follow the guidelines of the World Organization for Animal Health. We have our own guidelines that we.

[00:15:25.79] - Steven Pelech

when it comes to.

[00:15:26.60] - Steven Pelech

then on the other hand, they're blaming. Why they have to do this to the World Organization for Animal Health. Yeah.

[00:15:34.35] - Drea Humphie

Evil.

[00:15:35.42] - Drea Humphie

now I can watch Pop the Balloon. I feel better that you guys know that. Share that, please. And let me know if you watch Pop the Balloon. Let me know if I'm not the only weirdo. You know, I got my brother hooked on it. Like, at first they're like, oh, this is so weird. Like, it's like. And then you watch it and you just love it. At least I do. It is my. As the world is falling apart, I get to just kind of relax. Relax at that. So anyways, let me know in the comments what you think about the cfia, most importantly, and this statement and Pop the Blue.